Fathers of Neoliberalism:

The Academic and Professional Performance of the Chicago School, 1960-1985

Lasse Folke HenriksenLeonard SeabrookeKevin L YoungCopenhagen BusinessCopenhagen BusinessUniversity ofSchoolSchoolMassachusetts Amherst

Motivation

- The 'Neoliberal Ascent'
 - From a minority tribe within the economics profession, to significant world-historic dominance

- Whether *How* did Neoliberalism ascend?
- We look at professional practices/performance of economists, 1950-1985

Our starting points:

- NLs <u>had to replace</u> an existing ideology
- The battle over the dominant economic paradigm <u>had to be</u> <u>struggled for</u>
- This struggle took many forms, and was multi-faceted; one crucial stage of the process was *within a profession*: economics.

What was going on in the Economics <u>Profession</u> is Critical

- Ideas that key actors used (e.g. NL politicians, think tanks, NL activists, business associations used as part of the NL transition, etc.)....
- Had to have popularity
- Had to have scientific authority
- Had to have a cadre of experts that could be utilized, take on different roles, etc.
- To sustain NL ideology, you need an army of adherents...professional economists are absolutely critical

Our Approach

- Examine economists' level of performance and career paths.
- Did NLs 'outperform' their non-NL peers?
 - More publications?
 - More grants?
 - More government positions?

• This performance crosses generations...



- But, we need a comparison group...[equivalent chances of reproductive success...]
 - Find the 'matched peers' of Neoliberal Fathers, and find their descendants
- Compare the professional 'performance' of the two groups

Neoliberal Fathers



Charles River Fathers





Figure 1: Milton Friedman's Genealogical Forward Path in the RepEc Network

Finding Lineages

- 26 Fathers \rightarrow 566 Children (PhD students who graduated prior to 1980)
- A wide variety of sources:
 - RepEc Genealogy
 - Mathematics Genealogy
 - Festschrifts
 - Obituaries
 - Oral histories
 - Archives (Hoover, JFK Memorial, Harvard U, MIT thesis archive)
 - Contacted cohorts of students, econ departments

Figure 2. Number of students sampled per father and the number of reproductive years



Figure 3. Number of PhDs graduated and years of graduation



Figure 4. Mean cites per article for schools of thought and departments



Figure 5. Selected *in-group citation measures* for the two schools of thought



Figure 6. Proportion of published articles flagging funding.





Figure 7. Funding sources.





Figure 8: NSF Mean Grant Funding to Economists (in \$ 1000), early 1970s-1985



Figure 9: NSF Funding to Departments in the 1970s and early 1980s



Figure 11: Careers Paths from Universities to Sector



Figure 12: Careers Paths from Universities to Non-Academic Sectors





Figure 13: Careers Paths from Universities to Government Agencies

Figure 14: Careers Paths from Universities to Prestige of Employing Academic Institution





Figure 16: Transitivity and Reciprocity in Economists' Acknowledgement Networks





Conclusions

- The NL Ascent has an important professional performance angle
 - We Compared a Neoliberal group ('fathers' and 'children') to the Charles River group, for differences in:

 - Historic citations
 External funding

 - Career paths
 In-group cohesion
- Social norms of reciprocity and 'insurgent solidarity' played an important role in the neoliberal ascent

Thanks for your attention.

Comments and critiques most welcome.

keviny@umass.edu



Figure 10: Sector Distribution by University Over Time

Figure A1: Studies of Economics Department Prestige and Graduate Training Included in our Data and Their Timelines

	1938	1939	1940	1941	1942	1943	1944	1945	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954		1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1066	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984
Department Prestige		-		-			-				•	-	-									-			-						-			-						-			-
Yoyopoulos 1961																																											-
Siegfried 1972														Т		T	Т	T	Т		1																						-
Cleary and Edwards 1960																					П						Т																
Cartter 1966														Т			Т		Τ																								
Roose and Andersen 19																																											
Kenniston																																											
Miller 1975																																											
House and Yeager 1978																																											
Niemi 1975																																											
Graves Marchand and Thompson	n 19	82																																									
Davis and Papanek 1984																																					٦						
Leband 1985																																											
Tschirhart 1989																																											
Hirsch, Austin, Brooks and Moor	e 19	84																																			Т						
Dean 1976																																											
Bell and Seater 1982																																											
Graduate Training																																											
Cleary and Edwards 1960																																											
Cartter 1966																																											
Moore 1973																																											
Lovell 1973																																											
Hogan 1973																																											
Roose and Andersen 19																																											
Leband 1985																																											
Leband 1986a																																											
Leband 1986b																																											
Stigler and Friedman 1975																																											

Figure A2: Distribution of Prestige Among 152 US Economics Departments



Figure A3: Averaged Standardized Department Standing of 50 US Econ departments, 1950s-late 1970s



Figure A4: Pearson Correlation Matrix for Different Indicators of Economics Department Prestige and Graduate Training Caliber, for early 1970s period





Figure A5: Scatterplot of Departmental Prowess during the Early 1970s Period, with 3 K-clustered Areas

Figure A7. Hierarchical Clustering of US Economics Departments, Early 1970s



Figure A7. Hierarchical Clustering of US Economics Departments, Early 1970s



igure B1. Number of staff and PhD graduates at Harvard, MIT and Chicago





Figure B2: Publication Venues 1960-1980, Represented as Frequency-Scaled Wordclouds